

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD
N00164-15-D-JT94-0002 Mod 01

1. PURPOSE OF MODIFICATION

The subject delivery order was awarded to LEIDOS on 2016 Jun 03. This requirement is for Research and Development (R&D) of an analytic tool to support open source intelligence (OSINT) requirements.

Delivery Order 0002 contains the following CLINs:

CLIN 0001 - QTY 1 LOT

Total Price (b)(4)

CLIN Description - Task 1 Data Development

Period of Performance is 6/6/16-6/6/17

CLIN 0002 - QTY 1 LOT

Total Price (b)(4)

CLIN Description - Task 2 Algorithm Development

Period of Performance is 6/6/16-6/6/17

CLIN 0003 - QTY 1 LOT

Total Price (b)(4)

CLIN Description - Task 3a Production Application Development

Period of Performance is 6/6/16-6/6/17

CLIN 0004 - QTY 1 LOT

OPTION 1

Total Price - (b)(4)

CLIN Description - Task 3b Production Application Integration - Research and Development

CLIN 0005 - QTY 1 LOT

OPTION 2

Total Price - (b)(4)

CLIN Description - Task 4 Transition - Research and Development

CLIN 0006 - QTY 1 LOT

CDRLS - Not Separately Priced

Modification 01 is to update the vendor contact information, to incorporate DD254 REV 1, to change the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) and to exercise CLIN 0004, Option One (1).

2. OPTION EXERCISE COMPLIANCES

1. It is the Government's intent to exercise option one (1), CLIN 0004 for Production Application Integration under contract N00164-15-D-JT94-0002 with LEIDOS.

2.The subject option has a specified exercise period in the contract from 03 JUN 2016 to 31 DEC 2016. Written notice to the contractor of the Government's intent to exercise the option was provided on 19 SEPT 2016.

3.The requiring technical activity (RTA), (b)(6) has advised that funds in the amount of \$111,461.00 have been made available.

4.The RTA has advised that the requirement covered by the option will fulfill an existing Government need.

5.The exercise of the option is the most advantageous method of fulfilling the Government's need, price, and other factors through the following:

- ☐ A new solicitation failed to produce a better price or a more advantageous offer than that offered by the option.
- ☐ An informal analysis of prices/examination of the market indicates that the option price is better than prices available in the market/the more advantageous offer.
- ☒ The time between the award of the contract containing the option and the exercise of the option is so short that it indicates the option price is the lowest price obtainable/the most advantageous offer. Factors such as market stability and comparison of the time since award with the usual duration of contracts for such supplies or services have been taken into account.

6.The original procurement was not synopsisized in accordance with FAR 5.202(a)(6) - *The proposed contract action is an order placed under [Subpart 16.5](#).*

7.The contractor is not listed on the Excluded Parties List System (EPLS).

8.The following other factors have been taken into consideration in determining exercise of the option to be the most advantageous method of fulfilling the Government's need.

- ☒ The Government's need for continuity of operations and potential costs of disrupting operations
- ☒ The effect on small businesses

9.The option was evaluated as part of the initial competition and is exercisable at an amount specified in or reasonably determinable from the terms of the basic contract. Price for the option(s) were evaluated in the award and determined to be fair and reasonable based on adequate price competition and cost realism analysis IAW FAR 15.404-1(d).

10.Information Systems approval in accordance with SECNAVINST 5231.1B has been provided. N/A

11.The contract provides for economic price adjustment and the contractor requested a revision of the price. The contracting officer has determined the effect of the adjustment on prices under the option. ☐ Yes ☒ N/A

Based on the above, it is determined that exercise of the option is in accordance with the terms of the contract, references (a) through (c) and is

the most advantageous method of fulfilling the Government's need, price and other factors considered.

3. COR APPOINTMENT

The delivery order award listed (b)(6) as the COR. (b)(6) became a certified COR prior to award of the R&D MACs. The intent was for him to serve as COR for any MAC requirements that necessitated a COR appointment.

After award, the contract specialist realized that the required COR nomination letter had not been submitted to Contracting. Upon request of the letter, (b)(6) advised that JXT planned to transfer all MAC COR duties to (b)(6). All required paperwork was submitted and her official COR appointment letter was signed on 19 JULY 2016. This letter is located in Tab E-3 of the contract file. Therefore, Modification 01 will change the COR from (b)(6) to (b)(6).

4. APPROVALS:

Prepared By:

(b)(6)

Contract Specialist

Date

APPROVED:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Contracting Officer

Date